Sleep deprivation: health consequences and societal impact
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The impact of sleep deprivation can be incurred at many levels, including individual, family, community, and nations at large. In general, the societal impact falls within the spheres of transportation safety, health, and education. This brief article highlights certain recent findings and concerns.

Transportation safety

The single most pervasive area wherein the issue of sleep deprivation and the public weal intersect is that of transportation. The romantic image of old Dobbins safely guiding a sleepily bemused or sodden buggy rider homeward may or may not bear scrutiny. For certain, however, the twentieth-century emergence of multi–horse-powered juggernauts, directionless save for a human consciousness at the helm, finds us at a crossroads where alertness is requisite. As the speed, power, and numbers of vehicles increase, so too grows the need for a steady hand and eye attending to the task of safely navigating the roadways.

Many investigators have demonstrated the hazards of sleepy steering, typically characterizing the sleepy noncommercial auto driver as primarily young adult, usually male, and driving late at night or early in the morning, unable to ward off the spell of Morpheus, though at life’s peril. Numerous assessments in the United States and abroad implicate sleep deprivation as a significant risk factor for crashes [1–7]. For example, Pack and colleagues [3] analyzed 1990–1992 data from the University of North Carolina’s
Highway Safety Research Center to identify which characteristics were common in drivers who crashed when asleep. The data set included 4333 sleep-related crashes not associated with intoxication. Crashes tended to cluster during the overnight hours (approximately midnight to 7:00 AM) and the afternoon (approximately 3:00 PM), both of which have been associated with high vulnerability for sleepiness. Perhaps the most relevant finding vis-à-vis sleep deprivation was that the preponderance of the incidents occurred with a driver under the age of 25 years, an age at which poor sleep hygiene often leads to insufficient sleep.

Philip and colleagues [8] have confirmed some of the characteristics of sleepy drivers; for example, they examined drivers who, sleepless before a holiday excursion, were manifestly sleepy on the road. In this experiment, over 100 summertime drivers who stopped at a rest area were surveyed, gave sleepiness ratings, and underwent a two-nap sleep test. Nearly 90% of these drivers reported losing a significant amount of sleep during the 24 hours before their trip started, and compared with a control group, they fell asleep faster and slept longer on the two-nap sleep test. In another study, this group [4] surveyed over 2000 drivers stopped at random at toll booths in two French cities. Nearly all agreed to participate, and approximately 50% reported sleep reduction from their usual level in the previous 24 hours. The risk factors associated with such a sleep debt included age (younger individuals had a greater sleep debt), reason for driving (commuters), distance driven (longer), time of the trip (beginning at night), phase preference (evening type), usual sleep length (long sleepers), and weekend sleep pattern (oversleeping).

Other investigators using different methodologies largely confirm these general findings. For example, McCartt and colleagues [6] performed a telephone survey of noncommercial drivers licensed in the state of New York. Over 50% of these drivers reported driving while drowsy, nearly one quarter said they had fallen asleep at the wheel without crashing, and nearly 5% had crashed either while drowsy or after having fallen asleep. As in the Pack study [3], the majority of crashes occurred during the overnight hours. A number of contributing factors for the fall-asleep crashes were identified, two of which likely precipitate significant sleep deprivation: nontraditional work hours (multiple jobs and rotating or night shift work) and poor sleep patterns (low nocturnal sleep time, poor quality sleep, and self-assessed insufficient sleep).

One interesting approach to evaluating the “cost” of sleep deprivation and driving is found in attempts to equate sleep deprivation with alcohol-related driving impairment. Pack and colleagues [3] cite that the rate of fatalities in the fall-asleep crashes under investigation (1.4%) was not far different from the fatality rate in crashes related to alcohol intoxication (2.1%). Powell and colleagues [1] took the comparison one step further by examining actual driving performance in individuals under conditions of sleep loss (either following one night without sleep or losing 2 hours of sleep
a night for a week) in comparison to driving with significant blood alcohol levels (mean = .089 g/dL). Performance impairment for both sleep loss conditions and alcohol intoxication was significant and of comparable magnitude, and the authors concluded that the risks of driving while sleep deprived are “at least as dangerous” as when driving at an illegal level of alcohol intoxication.

Individuals and lobbying organizations in the United States are attempting to influence legislation to make it possible to prosecute individual drivers who cause crashes as a result of sleepiness caused by sleep deprivation. Indeed, one state has recently implemented legislation (“Maggie’s Law”), raising the culpability for “fatigued” drivers to the level of reckless driving [9].

Automobile drivers share the road with others who are prone to fall prey to sleep deprivation’s effects behind the wheel. One such group are long-haul truck drivers, who may often be short on sleep. Mitler and colleagues [10] provide one of a number of reports [11–13] describing the sleep-inducing stowaways infiltrating trips with many long-haul truckers—sleep debt, sleep disorders, nighttime sleep susceptibility—all acting in concert with truckers’ demanding schedules to leave open the inevitability of disaster for some. When truckers were evaluated with close observation including electrophysiologic monitoring and performance testing, they slept far less than their self-reported sleep need. Actual sleep time averaged across 5 days was on average only about 4.8 hours per 24 hours. Furthermore, stage 1 sleep was recorded in 2 of 80 while driving, and video-rated drowsiness episodes lasting at least 6 minutes occurred in over half of the drivers (56%). In this study, as in the studies of noncommercial drivers, the most vulnerable time occurred in the overnight/early morning hours.

Other forms of transportation, including railway and aviation, are not immune to the effects of sleep deprivation. One early electrophysiologic study in the field was performed by Torsvall and Akerstedt [14] in train drivers who repeated a 4.5-hour trip, once in the daylight and once during dark hours. Four of 11 drivers stated they had dozed off during the nighttime trip, and two entirely failed to react to signals. The electroencephalogram analyses showed more sleep-related phenomena in darkness than in daylight and more obvious signs of sleep and drowsiness in the train drivers who rated themselves as most sleepy. As to aviation, jet lag over many time zones, trips with multiple segments, and flying the “back side of the clock” are factors that carry a major risk for operational lapses due to sleep deprivation in pilots and crews [15–23]. As with ground-based drivers, pilots show greatest fatigue as the length of the trip is extended; indeed, the worst signs of fatigue (manifesting in such measures as electroencephalograms and vigilance) occurred during consecutive night flights [15,16]. Gander and colleagues [18–23] examined not only long-haul flight crews but also those involved in short-haul fixed-wing, short-haul helicopter, and domestic overnight cargo flying. Given a significant level of sleep loss across
all of these domains, increasing sleepiness across days was predictable in the majority of the crew members. Especially in the overnight cargo crews, exacerbating the performance-eroding effects of sleep deprivation is the challenge created by much of the flying time occurring at the circadian phase associated with greatest declines in alertness and performance, independent of sleep loss. Air traffic controllers, as well, can show impaired performance resulting from sleepiness and fatigue; one study by Luna and colleagues [24] clearly related these difficulties to an unusual pattern of shift work scheduling. Acknowledgment of such risks has led to calls for interventions, often including hours of service limitations, to alleviate sleepiness and fatigue as risks for transportation disasters [6,25,26].

Certain mishaps have brought attention that is greater than the single event—as in the case of the Exxon Valdez or the Challenger space shuttle—where the loss of sleep for a key member of the system (the crew piloting the ship or the go/no-go decision makers) sets off a chain reaction that destroys a wilderness habitat or brings a nation to its knees in mourning. Yet with every transportation sleepiness event—from the death of a famed hockey coach on a lonely stretch of Midwest highway to the loss of a son/brother/friend in the wee morning hours on a Connecticut interstate thoroughfare—each event takes an enormous personal toll in addition to the societal costs.

Health

The health-related costs of sleep deprivation are slowly emerging, as scientists begin to apply multidisciplinary tools to examine the role of insufficient sleep. The immune system has long been thought to be a vulnerable target for sleep deprivation’s ill effects. Forever have grandmothers warned about the ill consequences of inadequate sleep; in the same breath, of course, other warnings of greater or lesser authenticity have emerged. Thus sleep scientists remained mute on sleep loss and immune function for many years. Krueger and Majde [27] and other basic scientists began to jumpstart a renewed interest in immune functions’ ties to sleep with the identification of a variety of “sleep factors,” many of which are those that arise in the immune cascade. Krueger and Majde propose that cytokine proteins synthesized by the immune system play a role in normal sleep regulation (increasing non–rapid eye movement sleep and decreasing rapid eye movement sleep) and that during inflammatory events, the increase in cytokine levels also intensifies this sleep regulatory effect. Furthermore, these events are also intertwined with hypothalamic neuropeptide systems, such as growth hormone–releasing hormone and corticotropin-releasing hormone. Grandmothers’ admonitions, of course, worked from a more direct set of assumptions regarding sleep loss: if you do not get enough sleep, you will get sick. Investigators are now beginning to unravel some links
between sleep and immune function, though the current state-of-the-art has yet to confirm the certainty of the predictions of grandmothers [28–35]. Nevertheless, acute and chronic sleep deprivation show evidence of immune changes, such as lowered titers following influenza immunization [35], decreased proportion of natural killer cells [29], reduced lymphokine-activated killer activity, reduced interleukin-2 production during sleep deprivation [31], and lower natural killer cell numbers in association with disrupted sleep in bereaved and depressed persons [30].

Another health link of sleep deprivation is to endocrine function, metabolic function, and obesity. Van Cauter and colleagues [36–39] have been prominent in revealing neuroendocrine and metabolic alterations in response to sleep restriction and “sleep debt” in adults. For example, acute and partial sleep deprivation both alter the normal pattern of cortisol release and can contribute to an alteration of “negative glucocorticoid feedback regulation [36].” Altered glucose tolerance and insulin resistance have also been associated with sleep deprivation or sleep restriction [38,39]. Links to diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and obesity as a result of insufficient or disrupted sleep (eg, sleep apnea syndromes) are emerging but are not fully established [40–44]. Even in adolescents, one study [45] has uncovered a potential association of poor sleep to obesity, albeit in this case the link is made somewhat indirectly through a reduction in daytime activity associated with disturbed sleep. Thus those youngsters with greater sleep disruption also demonstrated lowered activity in the daytime (measured actigraphically) and manifested a higher likelihood toward obesity; indeed, “for each hour of lost sleep, the odds of obesity increased by 80%” [45].

**Education**

Another, perhaps less directly obvious health-related association comes from issues that arise in medical training and the sleep deprivation that has long been inherent during this training [46–49]. For example, Baldwin and colleagues [46] recently reported a survey of first- and second-year residents in which the average number of duty hours per week was 83 for residents in year one and 76.2 in year 2; over half of the first-year residents were on duty more than 80 hours a week. Furthermore, residents’ reports revealed a strong correlation between work hours and their stress level and hours of sleep. As to the negative effects of sleep deprivation on training and performance of medical residents, this area of investigation has been fraught with controversy and mixed findings [50–52]. Several studies, using a mixture of methods including self-report, neurobehavioral tests, and simulated medical procedures, revealed no or minimal effects of short sleep (usually indexed by post-call status) [50,53], whereas others detect significant deficits [51,52]. Howard and colleagues [54] found that residents were just as sleepy (by Multiple Sleep Latency Test [MSLT]) on baseline and post-call but were
nominally alert when given the opportunity for extended sleep; this finding argues that performance decrements may not be seen when comparing post-call residents with residents under nominal conditions, because the sleep deprivation effects persist beyond the immediate post-call night. One finding that is apparent from virtually all of the studies of resident medical training is that increased hours of work are associated with decreased time spent sleeping and increased perceived fatigue and stress. In response to a number of these concerns, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) has recently revised their standards for resident training hours at work, including limiting duty hours to a maximum of 80 per week averaged over 4 weeks, no duty shifts lasting longer than 24 hours, and so forth [55]. The debate is ongoing about the efficacy of this regulatory strategy and the approaches used to comply, as well as the ability of the ACGME to monitor compliance [46,56–58]; however, the new standards signify a growing acceptance of the difficulties that sleep deprivation produces for effective educational efforts.

Such awareness is dawning at other levels of educational practice. Accumulated evidence of chronic sleep restriction in adolescents due to behavioral, psychosocial, and intrinsic biologic processes [59,60] led an effort initially spearheaded by members of the Minnesota Medical Association [61] to restrict the early timing of school days. Hundreds of school districts have considered this issue over the last decade or so, but no formal registry exists. Our group recently surveyed 345 randomly selected United States public schools serving grades 9 through 12 and found that 40% had changed or contemplated changing start times in the years between 1999 and 2002 [62]. Representative Zoe Lofgren of California has repeatedly sponsored legislation to encourage school districts to move high school starting times to a later hour to enable teens to get adequate sleep (the “ZZZ’s to A’s” Act) [63]. Despite the urging of medical associations, advocacy groups (eg, the National Sleep Foundation), and even legislative efforts, such changes have come slowly because of the nature of the local control of school schedules and the often acrimonious debates that occur in individual communities. Stakeholders in the issue are diverse, as recently summarized by Wahlstrom [64], yet a change can have positive outcomes in areas such as attendance, tardiness, improved mood, and graduation rates [65].

One instance in which change occurred in response to a large body of evidence implicating sleep loss as well as circadian phase shifts of adolescents with poor outcomes [66–68] was when the US Navy changed the sleep schedules for recruits in training at the US Navy Recruit Training Command in Great Lakes, Illinois. The change, implemented in 2002, resulted in a change from sleeping hours of 2200 to 0400 to sleeping hours of 2200 to 0600. This effort has been recognized by the National Sleep Foundation as its 2004 Healthy Sleep Community Award [69]. The fact that the US Navy hierarchy could virtually overnight provide the nearly 60,000
young men and women who undergo training at this facility each year with the opportunity to sleep significantly longer and later than previous years’ recruits stands in stark contrast to the slow gains made by community school boards struggling to decide to delay the school start time.

Summary

Sleep deprivation can have significant consequences, and society’s responses to the structural issues that contribute to sleep deprivation—as well as ill-time sleeping and waking—take many forms. The success of such efforts as hours of service regulations, standards for residency duty hours, and recommendations for school starting times has been mixed. The full story has not been written, nor can we likely anticipate that all of the risks inherent in sleep loss will ever be eliminated. The next challenges are to determine with clarity the severity of the risks of sleep deprivation and the benefits of added wakefulness. The most difficult task will be determining on an individual basis who is or is not at risk and what countermeasures are appropriate.
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